UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,
v.
TOMAS RAMIREZ-GONZALEZ Defendant

Case Number 12mj70714HRL

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on August 21, 2012. Defendant was present, represented by his attorney <u>Cynthia Lie</u> AFPD. The United States was represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney <u>Meredith Edwards</u>.

PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE

/ The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment, whichever is later.

This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.

	/ / 7	There is p	probable cause based upon (the indictment) (the facts foun	d in Part IV below) to believe that the defenda	ınt
has co	mmitte	d an offer	nse		
	A.	_	for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 year	ars or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §	
			801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR		
	B.		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm during the	commission of a stony.	
	This	establish	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm during the cases a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combinate	ion of conditions will reasonably assure the	
appear	rance of	the defer	endant as required and the safety of the community.	AUC 9 - 9915	
	/ \	No presur	endant as required and the safety of the community. In applies.	AUG 2 1 2012	
	II. REE	BUTTAL O	OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	RICHARD W. WIEKING	
	/ / 7	Γhe defen	OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE Indant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebe ed detained	out the applicable of estimated the countries	
theref	ore will	be ordere	ed detained.	SAN JOSE CALIFORNIA	
	/ / 7	Γhe defen	ndant has come forward with evidence to rebut the applica	ble presumption[s] to wit: .	

Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States. PART-UI. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICABLE)

The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND/OR

/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.

PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

/ / The Court has taken into account the factors set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at the hearing and finds as follows:

Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived written findings.

PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated expresentative for confinement in a corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated:

8/24/12

HOWARD R. LLOYD
United States Magistrate Judge

AUSA , ATTY _____, PTS ____